

EXHIBIT J

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and)	
AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE,)	
LTD.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	C.A. No. 05-441 (JJF)
v.)	
)	
INTEL CORPORATION and)	
INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

IN RE INTEL CORPORATION)	C.A. No. 05-MD-1717 (JJF)
MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST)	
LITIGATION)	

**DEFENDANTS INTEL CORPORATION AND INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA'S
AMENDED RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST REQUEST FOR
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS [NOS. 1-218]**

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendants INTEL CORPORATION and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA (collectively "Intel" or "defendants") hereby respond to plaintiffs ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, LTD. (collectively "AMD" or "plaintiffs") First Request for Production of Documents and Things.

GENERAL RESPONSE

1. Intel's responses herein are not intended to, nor do they, constitute a waiver of the following rights, and are in fact intended to preserve and do preserve the following:

a. the right to object to the admissibility of any document produced pursuant to these Requests on grounds of authenticity, foundation, relevance, materiality, privilege, or any other objection which may arise in subsequent proceedings in, or trial of, this or any other action;

b. the right to object to plaintiffs' use of any document produced pursuant to this set of Requests, including pursuant to the terms of the protective order that may be entered in this case, in any subsequent proceeding in, or trial of, this or any other action;

c. the right to object on any grounds at any time to any other discovery involving documents produced pursuant to this set of Requests; and

d. the right to amend this response in the event that any documents are unintentionally omitted from production. Inadvertent identification or production of privileged documents or information by Intel pursuant to these Requests does not constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege.

2. Nothing contained herein or provided in response to the Requests consists of, or should be construed as, an admission relating to the existence or nonexistence of any alleged facts or information referenced in any Request or that Intel is in agreement with plaintiffs' characterization of the facts in any such Request. By indicating that Intel will produce any responsive documents, Intel does not represent that such documents exist or are in its possession, custody, or control but only that it will conduct the searches indicated for the documents sought.

3. The parties have negotiated an agreement governing the scope of searches required to locate responsive documents, including appropriately limiting the custodians whose files would need to be searched for responsive documents ("Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol"). In response to certain Requests that are essentially corporate inquiries, Intel states that in addition to its corporate files it will search the files of the custodians identified in the Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, as modified by Exhibit A. Intel notes, however, that these custodians were not identified for the purpose of responding to AMD's corporate inquiries.

4. Consistent with its obligation under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Intel will make reasonable efforts to respond to each Request, to the extent that no objection is made, as Intel understands and interprets the request. If plaintiffs subsequently assert an interpretation of

any Request that differs from that of Intel's, Intel reserves the right to supplement its objections and responses and to produce and use additional documents.

5. Intel makes the following responses upon presently available information and without prejudice to Intel's right to utilize subsequently discovered facts or documents.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Intel objects to each Request herein to the extent that it seeks documents or information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, joint defense privilege, or any other applicable privilege.

2. Intel objects to AMD's "Definitions," "Instructions," and "Documents to Be Produced" to the extent that they impose or attempt to impose obligations beyond those required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the District of Delaware.

3. Intel objects to every Request herein to the extent that it seeks confidential, proprietary, trade secret, or competitively sensitive information. Intel will not produce any such documents except pursuant to the terms of the protective order entered in this action.

4. Intel objects to each Request herein to the extent that it is argumentative and/or calls upon Intel to interpret legal theories or to draw legal conclusions.

5. Intel objects to each Request herein to the extent that the documents requested already are in AMD's possession or are available to plaintiffs from another source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

6. Intel objects to the definition of "INTEL," "YOU," and "YOUR" as imposing obligations on Intel beyond those authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and as purporting to require Intel to produce documents that are not within its possession, custody, or control. In this regard, Intel objects to the definition of "INTEL," "YOU," and "YOUR" as including "all past and present officers, directors, agents, representatives, employees, consultants, attorneys, entities acting in joint venture or partnership relationships with defendants, and others acting on either of their behalf."

7. Intel objects to the definition of “AMD” as imposing obligations on Intel beyond those authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In this regard, Intel objects to the definition of “AMD” as including “all past and present officers, directors, agents, representatives, employees, consultants, attorneys, entities acting in joint venture or partnership relationships with them, and others acting on either of their behalf.”

8. Intel objects to the definition of “INTEL PARTNER” as vague, ambiguous, overbroad and not subject to reasonable interpretation as it seeks to include “any company that designs, develops, manufactures, or sells any hardware, software, or any other product that is compatible for use with, or support of, any INTEL or AMD MICROPROCESSOR in a COMPUTER SYSTEM.” Intel’s response to any Request concerning an “INTEL PARTNER” will be limited to those companies that design, develop, manufacture, or sell Intel microprocessors and/or chipsets.

9. Intel objects to each Request herein that demands the production of “all databases” as overly broad and unduly burdensome. Intel will meet and confer with AMD concerning the scope of these Requests and will produce a database or databases “sufficient to show” the requested information, to the extent such databases exist. Intel does not intend to produce “all” databases within its possession, custody or control that may contain the requested information.

10. Intel objects to Instructions 4-10 to the extent that they purport to impose on Intel obligations that go beyond those authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or that are unduly burdensome. In this regard, Intel objects to AMD’s demand that all documents maintained or stored electronically be produced in “native electronic format with all relevant metadata intact.”

11. Each and all of the foregoing General Objections are hereby expressly incorporated into each and all of the following specific responses. For particular emphasis, one or more of these General Objections may be reiterated in a specific response. The absence of any reiteration in a given specific response is neither intended as, nor shall be construed as, a limitation or waiver of any General Objection made herein. Moreover, the inclusion of a specific

objection to a specific response is neither intended as, nor shall be construed as, a limitation or waiver of a General Objection or any other specific objection.

**OBJECTION BASED ON THE COURT'S SEPTEMBER 27, 2006 RULING ON
SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND STANDING**

Intel objects to each Request herein to the extent that it seeks the production of information relating to AMD's claims based on alleged lost sales of AMD's microprocessors to foreign customers and the allegations in the Complaint forming the basis for those claims, namely paragraphs 40-44, 54-57, 74-75, 81, 83, 86, 89, 93-94, 100-101 and 106. The basis for this objection is the analysis set out in the Court's September 27, 2006, Order holding that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction over such conduct and that AMD lacks standing to pursue these allegations. Intel will not produce documents responsive to AMD's requests that evidence or constitute Intel's conduct in foreign commerce, including, but not limited to, negotiation of individual sales or contracts in foreign commerce with the customers referenced in allegations specifically stricken by the Court and similarly situated companies not referenced in the Complaint.

AMD has taken the position that the Court's Order dismissing AMD's claims based on alleged lost sales of AMD's microprocessors to foreign customers and striking the related allegations changes *nothing* with regard to the scope of discovery. Intel's position is that the Order, by striking the allegations of foreign conduct, significantly narrows the scope of discovery and that considerable discovery sought by AMD is now not relevant to the subject matter of this case and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Nonetheless, Intel proposes to provide significant discovery relating to the microprocessor market and sales to customers outside the United States. In making this proposal, Intel does not concede the relevance or admissibility of the information for purposes of trial or discovery. Intel will therefore produce responsive non-privileged documents that refer or relate to market share analyses, sales and demand forecasts, competitive analyses strategic plans (and similar documents), as well as documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign

customers, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price, and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other financial consideration from Intel to foreign customers. Intel has in response to specific document requests set out where the objection based on the Court's Ruling applies and where documents covered by this objection will not be produced, as well as the remaining categories of documents that will be produced.

In addition, pursuant to the parties' document production stipulation, the parties have agreed to a custodial approach to production on certain requests. Under this stipulation the parties are to produce documents to include: (i) the most important custodians with knowledge of the issues framed by the pleadings, (ii) the custodians believed likely to have the most non-privileged documents responsive to the document requests, (iii) sufficient custodians to constitute a comprehensive production, and (iv) all persons who may be called at trial.¹ Because the pleadings have been narrowed, Intel has amended its Party Designated Custodian List previously provided to AMD in accordance with this objection and the Court's Order to delete those individuals selected to address the stricken allegations. A list of those individuals is set forth in Exhibit A to this response.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All studies, analyses, presentations or investigations concerning actual or potential competition faced by INTEL with respect to its MICROPROCESSOR business.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol,

¹ The stipulation also requires affirmance that a party has not failed to identify a custodian based on a belief that the custodian's documents would be harmful to its case.

including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All studies, analyses, presentations or investigations concerning the nature or size of the market for MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All studies, analyses, presentations or investigations concerning AMD's market share or market position with respect to MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All studies, analyses, presentations or investigations concerning INTEL's market share or market position with respect to MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting or constituting the terms of INTEL's sale of MICROPROCESSORS to any OEM, including but not limited to agreements, draft agreements, side letters, memoranda of understanding, memos, correspondence, internal analyses, sales meeting summaries, price lists, and invoices.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the demand for all paper "invoices" is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Intel does not intend to produce such documents. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without

waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign OEMs, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign OEMs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications between INTEL and any OEM concerning the sale, promotion and/or advertisement of MICROPROCESSORS and/or COMPUTER SYSTEMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered and/or provided by INTEL to any OEM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these

objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign OEMs, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign OEMs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting, analyzing, summarizing or describing any advertising and/or marketing support provided by INTEL to any OEM, including but not limited to invoices, canceled checks, correspondence, guidelines, conditions and/or agreements concerning MDF, Intel Inside, any other cooperative advertising support, or any other form of rebate or price discount provided by INTEL to any OEM in connection with advertising or marketing.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel also objects to this Request on the grounds that the demand for all paper "invoices" and "canceled checks" is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Intel does not intend to produce such documents. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department

files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show market development funds or advertising support related to foreign customers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing the price of INTEL MICROPROCESSORS offered or sold to any OEM, including but not limited to price lists, price analyses, average selling price calculations, price negotiations and correspondence.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show price lists, actual prices paid, rebates or other discounts, and average selling prices to foreign customers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the actual or effective prices paid, accounting for all FINANCIAL INDUCEMENTS, by all OEMs for INTEL MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing or reflecting any analysis of MICROPROCESSOR sales to OEMs, including but not limited to projections, sales goals, forecasts, competitive analyses, market share analyses, revenue analyses and demand studies.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any consideration or suggestion by INTEL that it will or might withdraw or withhold any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT to any OEM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these

objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign OEMs, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign OEMs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications with any OEM regarding AMD, AMD MICROPROCESSORS and/or COMPUTER SYSTEMS containing AMD MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to communications regarding sales, promotions, advertising, quality, price and revenue.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered and/or provided by INTEL to any RETAILER.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce responsive non-privileged documents sufficient to show discounts, rebates, market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other financial consideration from Intel to foreign retailers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing payments, commissions, or "spiffs" paid by RETAILERS to salespeople based on sales of COMPUTER SYSTEMS containing INTEL and/or AMD MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties'

Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications between INTEL and any RETAILER related to the allocation of shelf space or product placement in a RETAILER's stores.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting, analyzing, summarizing or describing any advertising and marketing support provided by INTEL to a RETAILER, including but not limited to invoices, canceled checks, correspondence, guidelines, conditions, and agreements concerning MDF, Intel Inside, any other cooperative advertising support, or any other form of rebate or price discount provided by INTEL to any RETAILER in connection with advertising or marketing.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel also objects to this Request on the grounds that the demand for all paper "invoices" and "canceled checks" is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Intel does not intend to produce such documents. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign Distributors, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign Distributors.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications between INTEL and any RETAILER concerning the stocking, advertising, promotion or sale of COMPUTER SYSTEMS containing AMD or INTEL MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such

Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing or reflecting any analysis of retail sales of COMPUTER SYSTEMS containing AMD or INTEL MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to projections, sales goals, forecasts, competitive analyses, market share analyses, revenue analyses and demand studies.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing or reflecting any analysis of direct internet sales of COMPUTER SYSTEMS containing AMD or INTEL MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to projections, forecasts, competitive analyses, market share analyses, revenue analyses and demand studies.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents

and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any consideration or suggestion by INTEL that it will or might withdraw or withhold any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT to any RETAILER.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce responsive non-privileged documents sufficient to show discounts, rebates, market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other financial consideration from Intel to foreign retailers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications with any RETAILER regarding AMD, AMD MICROPROCESSORS and/or COMPUTER SYSTEMS containing AMD MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to communications regarding sales, promotions, advertising, quality, price and revenue.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting or constituting the terms of INTEL's sale of MICROPROCESSORS to any DISTRIBUTOR, including but not limited to agreements, draft agreements, side letters, memoranda of understanding, memos, correspondence, internal analyses, sales meeting summaries, price lists, and invoices.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the demand for all paper "invoices" is unduly burdensome and overbroad. Intel does not intend to produce such documents. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter

Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign distributors, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign distributors.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications between INTEL and any DISTRIBUTOR concerning the sale, promotion and/or advertisement of MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered or provided by INTEL to any DISTRIBUTOR.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive

documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign Distributors, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign Distributors.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting, analyzing, summarizing or describing any advertising and/or marketing support provided by INTEL to any DISTRIBUTOR, including but not limited to invoices, canceled checks, correspondence, guidelines, conditions and/or agreements concerning MDF, Intel Inside, any other cooperative advertising support, or any other form of rebate or price discount provided by INTEL to any DISTRIBUTOR in connection with advertising or marketing.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel also objects to this Request on the grounds that the demand for all paper "invoices" and "canceled checks" is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Intel does not intend to produce such documents. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department

files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign Distributors, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign Distributors.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing the price of INTEL MICROPROCESSORS offered or sold to any DISTRIBUTOR, including but not limited to price lists, price analyses, average selling price calculations, price negotiations and correspondence.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign Distributors, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign Distributors.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the actual or effective prices paid, accounting for all FINANCIAL INDUCEMENTS, by all DISTRIBUTORS for INTEL MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing or reflecting any analysis of MICROPROCESSOR sales to or by DISTRIBUTORS, including but not limited to projections, sales goals, forecasts, competitive analyses, market share analyses, revenue analyses and demand studies.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any consideration or suggestion by INTEL that it will or might withdraw or withhold any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT to any DISTRIBUTOR.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive

documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign distributors, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign distributors.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications with any DISTRIBUTOR regarding AMD and/or AMD MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to communications regarding sales, promotions, advertising, quality, price and revenue.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting or constituting the terms of INTEL's sale of MICROPROCESSORS to any ODM, including but not limited to agreements, draft agreements,

side letters, memoranda of understanding, memos, correspondence, internal analyses, sales meeting summaries, price lists, and invoices.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the demand for all paper "invoices" is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Intel does not intend to produce such documents. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign ODMs, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign ODMs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing communications between INTEL and any ODM concerning the sale of MICROPROCESSORS and/or the design or development of COMPUTER SYSTEMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive

documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered and/or provided by INTEL to any ODM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign ODMs, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign ODMs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing the price of INTEL MICROPROCESSORS offered or sold to any ODM, including but not limited to price lists, price analyses, average selling price calculations, price negotiations, and correspondence.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show price lists, actual prices paid, rebates or other discounts, and average selling prices to foreign ODMs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the actual or effective prices paid, accounting for all FINANCIAL INDUCEMENTS, by all ODMs for INTEL MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing or reflecting any analysis of MICROPROCESSOR sales to ODMs including but not limited to projections, sales goals, forecasts, competitive analyses, market share analyses, revenue analyses and demand studies.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection

Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any consideration or suggestion by INTEL that it will or might withdraw or withhold any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT to any ODM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications with any ODM regarding AMD and/or AMD MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to communications regarding sales, promotions, development, design, advertising, quality, price and revenue.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered and/or provided by INTEL to any chipset manufacturer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign chipset manufacturers, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market

development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign chipset manufacturers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any consideration or suggestion by INTEL that it will or might withdraw or withhold any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT to any chipset manufacturer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian. Without waiving Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will produce documents sufficient to show (i) the prices charged by Intel to foreign chipset manufacturers, including any discounts, rebates, lump sum payments, or other financial consideration that affects the price and (ii) market development funds, Intel Inside funds, or any other payments from Intel to foreign chipset manufacturers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications with any chipset manufacturer regarding AMD and/or AMD MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to communications regarding sales, design, development, promotions, advertising, quality, price and revenue.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered and/or provided by INTEL to any INTEL PARTNER.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the term "INTEL PARTNER" is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and not subject to reasonable interpretation. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, reflecting, constituting or describing any consideration or suggestion by INTEL that it will or might withdraw or withhold any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT to any INTEL PARTNER.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the term "INTEL PARTNER" is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and not subject to reasonable interpretation. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, discussing, reflecting or describing communications with any INTEL PARTNER regarding AMD and/or AMD MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to communications regarding sales, promotions, advertising, product launches, design, development, quality, price and revenue.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the term "INTEL PARTNER" is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and not subject to reasonable interpretation. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things

contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

All DOCUMENTS prepared for or in connection with distribution to customers and prospective customers at any trade show.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome, in part because it is not limited to the issues in this action. Intel also objects to this Request on the ground that it calls for information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, analyzing or discussing business plans for INTEL's MICROPROCESSOR BUSINESS, including but not limited to plans for specific market segments, MICROPROCESSOR types, and/or specific geographic regions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection

Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

All DOCUMENTS analyzing or discussing INTEL's and/or AMD's market share in the MICROPROCESSOR business, including but not limited to market share for specific market segments, MICROPROCESSOR types, and/or in specific geographic regions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, analyzing or discussing strategic plans, competitive analyses, sales forecasts, price elasticity studies, and market segmentation analyses related to INTEL or AMD's MICROPROCESSOR business.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing,

Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, analyzing or discussing pricing analyses or pricing studies related to MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

All DOCUMENTS referring, relating, or pertaining to any INTEL analyst meeting, including but not limited to meeting transcripts, notes or minutes, pre-meeting mailings, and materials distributed at or during the meeting.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its

subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

All presentations, conference calls, or other communications by INTEL representatives to financial analysts, industry forums, the press, or any other third parties that relate to microprocessors, your business or financial performance, or AMD.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

All DOCUMENTS referring, relating, or pertaining to any INTEL shareholder meeting, including but not limited to meeting transcripts, notes or minutes, pre-meeting mailings, and materials distributed at or during the meeting.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

All DOCUMENTS constituting, reflecting or pertaining to press releases about INTEL MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to drafts of such releases, discussions about such drafts, final versions of such releases, and communications or discussions about such releases.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

All DOCUMENTS discussing customer or consumer demand for MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to demand in specific market segments, by MICROPROCESSOR type, and/or in specific geographic regions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

All DOCUMENTS analyzing, constituting, discussing, reflecting or referring to INTEL's sales goals, forecasts, projections and/or performance for INTEL's MICROPROCESSOR BUSINESS, including but not limited to specific market segments, MICROPROCESSOR types, and/or specific geographic regions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, and without waiving its Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

All DOCUMENTS analyzing, describing, or reflecting INTEL's platform strategy for *Centrino*, *VIIIV*, *East Fork*, and the *Digital Home Initiative*, including but not limited to the benefits to INTEL and potential harm to AMD.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, analyzing or referring to competition between OEMs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, analyzing or referring to competition between RETAILERS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, analyzing or referring to competition between DISTRIBUTORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and

will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, analyzing or referring to competition between ODMs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, analyzing or referring to competition between INTEL, on the one hand, and AMD or any other MICROPROCESSOR manufacturer, on the other hand, with respect to MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department

files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

All DOCUMENTS discussing, analyzing or referring to INTEL or AMD's capacity to manufacture MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

All DOCUMENTS discussing or referring to INTEL's or AMD's ability, inability, capacity or lack of capacity to produce or supply MICROPROCESSORS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:

All DOCUMENTS describing, analyzing or summarizing INTEL's actual or projected costs to design, manufacture, sell, distribute, promote or market (including but not limited to

market development activities) INTEL MICROPROCESSORS, including but not limited to any such DOCUMENTS describing, analyzing or summarizing such costs with respect to any particular customer or customers.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show all fixed asset or other capital expenditures relating to YOUR manufacture or sale of microprocessors, together with related depreciation and asset disposition schedules, from January 1, 1997 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:

All budgets, forecasts, and projections concerning any of the actual or potential elements of the business of manufacturing or selling microprocessors including, but not limited to (1) costs and expenses, (2) sales in units or dollars, (3) gross and net profits, and (4) return on investment associated with YOUR expenditures for the construction, or expansion, or contracting of production facilities.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

All financial reports, studies or analyses of INTEL's MICROPROCESSOR business with respect to any particular customer or customers, including but not limited to financial reports, studies or analyses of INTEL's projected and/or actual profits or economic performance with respect to such customer or customers.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

Intel's Objection Based on the Court's September 27, 2006 Ruling on Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Standing applies to this Request. In addition, Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to these objections, Intel will conduct a diligent review and will produce all non-privileged, responsive documents and things contained in (i) the files of the designated Custodians as per the parties' Stipulation Regarding Document Production Protocol, including files maintained by such Custodians on any shared server; and (ii) all of its and its subsidiaries' corporate or department files or databases, or other non-electronic files maintained by Intel outside the custody of any particular custodian.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe the meaning, significance or protocols applicable to any color coding of any technical manual or specification provided by INTEL to any INTEL PARTNER.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Intel further objects to this Request on the grounds that the term "INTEL PARTNER" is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and not subject to reasonable interpretation. Subject to its General Objections, its Specific Objections, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to describe the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the volume of INTEL MICROPROCESSORS shipped to or within the United States, broken down by date, customer and MICROPROCESSOR model or type.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the volume of INTEL MICROPROCESSORS sold to customers incorporated in or with their principal place of business in the United States, broken down by date, customer, and MICROPROCESSOR model or type.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

Intel incorporates by reference and asserts each of its General Objections set forth above as if stated here in full. Subject to its General Objections, Intel will produce non-privileged documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such documents exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 72:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the volume of INTEL MICROPROCESSORS shipped to or within California, broken down by date, customer and MICROPROCESSOR model or type.